

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/668,196	RUSSELL ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Zachariah Lucas	1648	

All Participants:

(1) Zachariah Lucas.

(2) Patrick Finn.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 6 July 2006

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No
 If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:
NA

Claims discussed:
1

Prior art documents discussed:
NA

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The Examiner indicated that the claims would be in condition for allowance if claim 1 was amended to clarify that the mammals to which the attenuated measles virus was administered has cancer. It was agreed that the claims would be amended such that the phrase - - having viable cancer cells therein- - would be inserted after the term "mammal" at the beginning of line 2 of claim 1, and that the amendment would be by way of Examiner's Amendment..